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#### Abstract

This report covers the 48th annual inventory of Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, spawner populations in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River system. It is a compilation of sources estimating the late-fall-, winter-, spring-, and fall-run populations for streams which were surveyed. Estimates were based on counts of fish entering hatcheries and migrating past dams, from surveys of dead and live fish and redds in spawning areas, and from aerial counts.

The estimated 2000 total escapement of Chinook salmon in the Central Valley was 507,149 fish, which was $14 \%$ higher than in 1999. The population consisted of 483,423 fall-, 12,945 late-fall-, 9,429 spring-, and 1,352 winter-run spawners. All of the late-fall-, spring-, and winter-run salmon were in the Sacramento River system. The entire Central Valley fall run consisted of 436,558 fish in the Sacramento River system and 46,865 fish in the San Joaquin River system. In the Feather and American rivers of the Sacramento system, record high fall runs occurred. The combined fall run in the San Joaquin tributaries of Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers was over double the 1999 populations, but still only contributed a small portion ( $8 \%$ ) to the total Central Valley escapement.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Sacramento-San Joaquin River system (Figure 1), which flows through California's Central Valley, is the principle producer of Chinook salmon caught in the state's ocean fisheries; its salmon runs also contribute to the ocean fisheries of Oregon and Washington. This report is the 48th compilation of Chinook salmon spawner stock surveys. The spring and fall runs have been monitored since 1953, and late-fall and winter runs since 1971. The four runs are distinguished as follows:

1) Late-fall run. These salmon spawn mainly in the upper Sacramento River and its tributaries near and upstream of Red Bluff. They arrive in this area in early November through February, with spawning occurring from January through mid-April. Adults of this run are usually larger in physical size than fall- and winter-run salmon spawning in the same area.
2) Winter run. These salmon spawn almost entirely in the Sacramento River and its tributaries upstream of Red Bluff, arriving there in December through early August, with spawning occurring from April through August.
3) Spring run. Once widespread in Central Valley tributaries, this run has disappeared from many of the streams in which dam construction has blocked access to spawning habitat. Spring-run spawners return to the system from the ocean in late January through August; early arrivals to their natal streams oversummer in holding pools. Spawning occurs from mid-August through October.
4) Fall run. These are presently the most numerous and widely distributed salmon in the Central Valley. They return from the ocean during June through November and spawn from early October through late December.

Monitoring of salmon spawner escapement in Central Valley tributaries is an important component of the California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG) fishery management effort. The primary objectives of this work are to determine size and composition of spawner populations. Any changes in spawning distribution and habitat conditions that may adversely affect salmon are noted to determine if corrective action is necessary.


FIGURE 1. Sacramento-San Joaquin River System of California's Central Valley.

## GENERAL METHODS

During 2000, spawner stock data were collected in some Central Valley tributaries known to support Chinook salmon runs by: monitoring fish entering hatcheries and migrating past dams; conducting stream surveys in holding or spawning areas for live fish, carcasses, and redds; or making aerial redd counts.

The data collected usually represented only a sampling of the tributaries' spawners. For some tributaries, data were not sufficient to calculate an estimate of the spawner population size; in some such cases, a decision of the number of spawners present was arrived at by "best professional judgement".

In other streams, salmon carcasses were marked throughout a series of survey periods. Discrete marks associated those carcasses with the individual surveys upon subsequent recovery trips. All counted carcasses were marked, or cut in half to prevent recounting. Estimated spawner numbers were calculated from mark-and-recovery data.

Specific details of surveys (e.g. timing, duration, location), or estimation methods are presented under the individual tributary sections.

In this report, adult salmon are considered those fish three years old and older. Two-year-old salmon, although sexually mature, are referred to as grilse.

## Keswick Dam to Red Bluff Diversion Dam ${ }^{2 /}$

Spawner population sizes were estimated for the late-fall, winter, and fall runs of Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River mainstem (Figure 2) upstream of Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD). Clear and Battle creeks were the only tributaries in this area for which individual fallrun population estimates were made. Spawning distribution in the mainstem was determined from aerial redd counts.

In 2000, a total of 183,886 salmon was estimated for the Sacramento River system upstream of Red Bluff, consisting of 169,586 fall-, 12,826 late-fall-, 1,325 winter- and 122 spring-run fish. The mainstem portion of the fall- run spawner population was 87,793 fish. The mainstem totals which are reported include fish for tributaries in which a run might have occurred, but where no estimates were possible; e.g., the spring runs in Clear and Battle creeks, and the fall run in Cottonwood Creek.

## Sacramento River Mainstem

Estimation method from RBDD monitoring. Numbers of winter-, spring-, and fall-run salmon spawners in the Sacramento River upstream from RBDD were based on daily counts made by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and on sampling of fish by CDFG, at the dam. Counts were obtained through video monitoring of salmon passing through the fishway ladders.

Numbers of fish counted each week (Saturday through Sunday) were adjusted for those periods when the fishways remained open but no counts were possible (e.g., turbid conditions, when no observations were made at night, and when counting took place during only part of a week due to temporary opening of the dam gates). Adjustments to lapses in daytime counts were made by interpolation. Adjustments for the non-monitored nighttime hours were made by multiplying the 14-h day counts by a "night-factor", which was generated from weekly night counts.

The adjusted weekly number of fish was apportioned among the winter, spring, and fall runs based on their relative proportions seen that week in samples of salmon from the dam's east-bank trapping facility. These sampled fish were assigned to a run by assessing when they would spawn, as indicated by physiological characteristics (coloration, scale absorption, secondary sexual development, and relative degree of ripeness).

To facilitate upstream migration of winter-run salmon, the RBDD gates were raised from the beginning of the year to 15 May, and from 15 September through the end of the year. When the
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FIGURE 2. Sacramento River System from Keswick Dam downstream to Princeton Ferry.
dam gates are up, the fishways are essentially inoperable, and counts are not possible. To account for salmon passing the dam when the gates were up, total adjusted numbers from actual counts were expanded, using migrational distributions for each run based on historical data.

The migrational distributions were an average timing derived from RBDD data when the gates were down year-round, based on the 1982-1986 winter runs, and the 1970-1988 spring and fall runs (Table 1). Numbers of fish estimated from actual counts for the gates-down period in 2000 were assumed to represent the same proportion of the run as the period's historical distribution, and expanded accordingly.

The estimated fall-run salmon passing upstream of RBDD (potential spawners) was reduced by the number of fish taken in the sport fishery between Keswick Dam and Red Bluff; no attempt was made to account for any other prespawning mortality in the upper river. The number of sport-caught fall-run salmon was estimated from angler surveys conducted by CDFG upstream of RBDD. It was assumed that no winter- or spring-run fish were harvested, due to an angling closure in effect from 15 January through 16 July.

To obtain only the upper mainstem Sacramento River population of spring- and fall-run salmon, the number of potential spawners was also reduced by each run's population in upstream tributaries. To obtain the winter-run's upstream mainstem population, those potential spawners were also reduced by numbers of fish transferred from RBDD and Keswick Dam to Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery.

Late-fall run. The RBDD gates were raised during the entire late-fall migration period, so counts of this run's fish passing the dam were not possible. Instead, the population was estimated from salmon carcass surveys in a portion of the mainstem, and from aerial redd surveys of the entire mainstem.

Weekly carcass surveys were conducted from 27 December 1999 through 25 April 2000, covering the stretch of the mainstem from Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District Dam (ACID) at river mile (RM) 298.5 downstream to Anderson River Park (RM 282) ${ }^{3 /}$. During the surveys, mean river flows upstream from ACID ranged from $150 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $1,181 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(5300-41,700 \mathrm{cfs})$. Weekly average water clarity in the surveyed section, measured by secchi disk, ranged from 1.5 m to $4.5 \mathrm{~m}(5-15 \mathrm{ft})$. Water temperatures in the survey area ranged from $8.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $12.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(48-54^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

Most of the salmon carcasses observed were marked with colored ribbon attached to their jaws with hog rings; for each week a different color was used. Carcasses that were not marked included those that were headless, those on shore in a "leathery" condition, and those at the downstream end of the survey area which would have drifted out of the area. Unmarked carcasses, as well as those that were recovered with marks, were chopped in half to prevent recounting. Marked carcasses were returned to running water for subsequent recovery. A subsample of fresh carcasses (those with a clear eye or pink gills) were sexed and measured.

[^2]TABLE 1. Distribution of migration for Chinook salmon runs past Red Bluff Diversion Dam. Proportions were used to expand estimated numbers of fish passing the dam for gates-down periods, to include numbers passing during gates-up periods.

| Approximate monthly period | Concurrent week | Proportion of run (\%) ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Winter run | Spring run | Fall run |
| January | 1 | 1.70 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1.78 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.35 |  |  |
|  | _-. 4. | -1.28 |  |  |
| February | 5 | 2.38 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 3.12 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 3.08 |  |  |
|  | 8 | 0.97 |  |  |
| March | 9 | 6.35 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 7.72 |  |  |
|  | 11 | 9.23 |  |  |
|  | 12 | 7.79 | 0.10 |  |
|  | 13 | 4.91 | 025 |  |
| April | 14 | 7.64 | 0.59 |  |
|  | 15 | 8.26 | 0.96 |  |
|  | 16 | 9.19 | 1.38 |  |
|  | 17 | 3.47 | 1.63 |  |
|  | 18 | 2.02 | 1.60 |  |
| May | 19 | 1.60 | 1.71 |  |
|  | 20 | 2.17 | 2.16 |  |
|  | - 21 | 3.09 | 2.63 |  |
|  | - 22 | 2.03 | 2.86 | 001 |
| June | 23 | 1.63 | 2.61 | 0.00 |
|  | 24 | 1.84 | 2.93 | 0.01 |
|  | 25 | 0.51 | 3.50 | 0.03 |
|  | -26 | 0.76 | 3.10 | 0.08 |
|  | 27 | 1.60 | 3.67 | 010 |
| July | 28 | 0.31 | 6.02 | 029 |
|  | 29 | 104 | 4.75 | 0.49 |
|  | -30 | 0.44 | 3.21 | 070 |
|  | 31 | 001 | 4,12 | 096 |
| August | 32 |  | 6.97 | 1.68 |
|  | 33 |  | 6.07 | 2.95 |
|  | 34 |  | 6.75 | 3.53 |
|  | - 35 |  | 5.74 | 3.91 |
|  | 36 |  | 7.22 | 454 |
| September | 37 |  | 6.68 | 5.59 |
|  | 38 |  | 5.23 | 858 |
|  | -_39 |  | 370 | 924 |
|  | 40 |  | 1.19 | 1049 |
| October | 41 |  | 069 | 1059 |
|  | 42 |  |  | 897 |
|  | - 43 |  |  | 6.99 |
|  | 44 |  |  | 6.70 |
| November | 45 |  |  | 4.67 |
|  | 46 |  |  | 2.71 |
|  | -47 |  |  | 2.23 |
|  | 48 |  |  | 168 |
| December | 49 | 0.17 |  | 0.90 |
|  | 50 | 0.38 |  | 0.66 |
|  | 51 | 049 |  | 051 |
|  | 52 | 071 |  | 019 |
| I/ Distributions are averages based on the following years of data: <br> -Winter-run, 1982 through 1986. <br> -Spring-run, 1970 through 1988. <br> -Fall-run, 1970 through 1988. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

A total of 2,554 salmon carcasses was observed, but only the mark-and-recovery data for those classified as adults (fork length [FL] $>71 \mathrm{~cm}$ [27.9 in]) were used to calculate an estimate using the Petersen formula (Appendix 1.A). Adults comprised 2,052 of the observed carcasses, of which 266 were marked and 87 subsequently recovered, for an estimate of 6,493 adult fish in the surveyed area. The adult estimate was expanded to include an approximately $24 \%$ grilse proportion, for a population of 8,552 salmon from ACID to Anderson River Park.

Based on an aerial survey of the mainstem up- and downstream of RBDD, conducted on 14 December 1999 , about $97.7 \%$ of the late-fall-run spawning occurred within the mark-andrecovery area, and about $98.6 \%$ of the total redds were upstream of RBDD. The carcass survey population estimate was further expanded for an entire mainstem spawner population ( 8,751 fish), which was then proportioned to 8,632 fish for only the mainstem upstream of RBDD.

The late-fall population consisted of $27.2 \%$ male adults, $48.8 \%$ female adults, $20.3 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 71 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $3.7 \%$ female grilse. This composition was based on 324 fresh carcasses examined during the mark-and-recovery surveys.

The 2000 late-fall-run population of 8,632 fish for the entire mainstem upstream of RBDD was close to the 1999 estimate ( 8,683 fish) which was for only the carcass survey area; aerial redd data were not available to expand that year's estimate to the entire mainstem.

Winter run. The 2000 winter run at RBDD was estimated to be 1,352 salmon ( 1,206 natural-origin and 146 hatchery-origin fish, as differentiated by presence or absence of adipose fins). Due to the RBDD gates being open, only $15.4 \%$ of this estimate was derived from actual counts at the dam (Table 2). It was assumed that no winter-run salmon were caught in the sportfishery upstream of RBDD. A total of 89 fish ( 82 from Keswick Dam and seven from RBDD) were transferred to the Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery winter-run broodstock program, and two winter-run salmon were in Battle Creek, leaving 1,261 salmon as the upper mainstem spawner population (Appendix 2).

Based on 24 winter-run salmon sampled at RBDD, the run (including both natural- and hatcheryorigin fish) consisted of $12.5 \%$ male adults, $29.2 \%$ female adults, $45.8 \%$ male grilse, and $12.5 \%$ female grilse.

The 2000 winter-run spawner population of 1,261 salmon in the mainstem upstream of RBDD was a decrease of $61 \%$ from the 1999 population, but still $8 \%$ higher than the average for 19901999 (Appendix 3).

Spring run. An estimated 252 salmon with spring-run characteristics passed RBDD in $2000 ; 81 \%$ of this estimate was derived from actual counts at the dam (Table 2). It was assumed that no spring-run salmon were caught in the sport-fishery upstream of RBDD, and a total of 181 fish, most of which were considered to be of this run, were accounted for in the upper reaches of Clear, Cottonwood and Battle creeks. The remaining 71 salmon may have spawned in the mainstem and in tributaries upstream of RBDD. However, CDFG considers this to be unlikely, as available spawning habitat in those areas are also utilized by fall-run salmon during the same periods. It was therefore judged that an estimate of mainstem spawners was not possible.

Based on 28 salmon sampled at RBDD, the spring run consisted of $71 \%$ adults and $29 \%$ grilse.
Fall run. An estimated 176,041 fall-run potential spawners passed RBDD in 2000; due to the RBDD gates being open, only $25 \%$ of this estimate was derived from actual counts at the dam (Table 2). The fall-run sport-harvest was estimated to be 6,455 salmon, during the fishing season from 16 July 2000 to 14 January 2001, leaving 169,586 fish as a spawner population in the entire system upstream of Red Bluff. A total of 81,793 spawners was estimated for Clear and Battle creeks, so the upper mainstem population was 87,793 salmon (Appendix 2). This estimated population includes salmon in other upper mainstem tributaries that were not surveyed.

TABLE 2. Estimation of 2000 winter-, spring- and fall-run Chinook salmon spawners passing Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD).

| $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Week No. of } \\ \text { RBDD } \\ \text { operation " } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Fishway trapping observations |  |  |  |  |  |  | Calculated No. salmon passing dam |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of salmon assigned to run ${ }^{2 /}$ |  |  |  | Weekly run proportions (\%) |  |  | Weekly adjusted count ${ }^{3 /}$ | Adjusted count apportioned$\qquad$ by run ${ }^{4 /}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Winter- } \\ \text { run } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring- } \\ \text { run } \end{gathered}$ | Fall-run | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Winter- } \\ \text { run } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Springnin | Fall-run |  | Winter-mun | Spring-run | Fall-mon |
| 20 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 750 | 250 | 0.0 | 71 | 54 | 18 | 0 |
| 21 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 77.8 | 222 | 0.0 | 77 | 60 | 17 | 0 |
| 22 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 727 | 75 | 7 | 14 | 55 |
| 23 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 27 | 18.5 | 33.3 | 48.1 | 299 | 55 | 100 | 144 |
| 24 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 30 | 00 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 172 | 0 | 23 | 149 |
| 25 | 4 | 8 | 36 | 48 | 8.3 | 167 | 75.0 | 135 | 11 | 22 | 101 |
| 26 | 2 | 1 | 32 | 35 | 5.7 | 29 | 91.4 | 135 | 8 | 4 | 124 |
| 27 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 29 | 6.9 | 34 | 89.7 | 204 | 14 | 7 | 183 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 315 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 1473 | 0 | 0 | 1,473 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 68 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 71 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 174 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1996 | 0 | 0 | 1,996 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 404 | 404 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1933 | 0 | 0 | 1,933 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 166 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1000 | 2657 | 0 | 0 | 2,657 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 109 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 1533 | 0 | 0 | 1,533 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 383 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 7452 | 0 | 0 | 7,452 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 541 | 541 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 25592 | 0 | 0 | 25,592 |
| Totals | 24 | 28 | 2,392 | 2,444 | Totals ${ }^{51}$. |  |  | 44,207 | 209 | 204 | 43,794 |
|  | Portion of each run represented by calculated No. of fish ${ }^{6 /}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1543 \%$ | 80.99\% | $2488 \%$ |
|  |  |  | ESTIMATED TOTAL 2000 RUN ${ }^{\text {² }}$ : |  |  |  |  |  | 1,352 | 252 | 176,041 |

[^3]Based on fall-run salmon sampled at RBDD, the run consisted of $97.3 \%$ adults and $2.7 \%$ grilse.
The 2000 fall run of 87,793 fish in the mainstem Sacramento River upstream of Red Bluff was a decrease of $34 \%$ from the 1999 population, but still $70 \%$ higher than the average for 1990-1999 (Appendix 3).

Mainstem spawning distribution. The 2000 salmon redd relative distribution in the mainstem Sacramento River from Keswick Dam downstream to RBDD was determined from data collected through aerial surveys during the late-fall-, winter-, spring-, and fall-run spawning seasons. All of the winter- and spring-run spawning in the mainstem occurred upstream from Red Bluff (Table 3). Late-fall- and fall-run spawning in this river stretch constituted $98.6 \%$ and $90.8 \%$, respectively, of that observed for the entire mainstem.

| TABLE 3. Chinook salmon redd relative distribution observed during 2000 aerial surveys of the mainstem Sacramento River from Keswick Dam to Princeton Ferry. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Late-fall num |  | Wirter rum |  | Spring rum |  | Fail nut |  |
| River section | Redds conmed " | Proportional distrylumion | Reads comtel ${ }^{2}$ | Proporitionad disturbution | Redds countod ${ }^{3 /}$ | Propmitonal distribution | Redds countal ${ }^{*}$ | Proportional distroution |
| Keswick Dam to ACLDD Dam ${ }^{3}$ | 0 |  | 34 | 58\% | 0 |  | 82 | 64\% |
| A.C.ID. Dam to Highway 44 Bndge | 412 | 934\% | 157 | 26.7\% | 12 | 85.7\% | 244 | 19.1\% |
| Highway 44 Bndge to Aurport Road Bndge | 19 | 4.3\% | 274 | 46,6\% | 1 | 7.1\% | 228 | 179\% |
| Aurport Road Bndge to Balls Ferry Bridge | 4 | 0.9\% | 32 | 5.4\% | 0 |  | 192 | 15.0\% |
| Balls Ferry Bndge to Battle Creek | 0 |  | 35 | 60\% | 1 | 7.1\% | 132 | 10.3\% |
| Battle Creek to Jellys Feny Bridye | 0 |  | 10 | 1.7\% | 0 |  | 131 | 103\% |
| Jellys Ferry Bndge to Bend Bridge | 0 |  | 46 | 78\% | 0 |  | 116 | 9.1\% |
| Bend Bridge to Red Bluff Dam | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 33 | 26\% |
| Upstream proportion: |  | 98,6\% |  | 1000\% |  | 100.0\% |  | $90.8 \%$ |
| Red Bluff Dam to Tehama Bridge | 4 | 0.9\% | 0 |  | 0 |  | 69 | $54 \%$ |
| Tehama Brdge to Woodson Bndge | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 11 | 0.9\% |
| Woodson Brdge to Hamulton City Bridge | 1 | 0.2\% | 0 |  | 0 |  | 22 | 1.7\% |
| Hamulton City Bridge to Ord Feny Bridge | 1 | 0.2\% | 0 |  | 0 |  | 16 | 1,3\% |
| Ord Ferry Bndge to Princeton Ferry | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Downstream proportion: |  | 1.4\% |  | 0,0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 9.2\% |
| Total Redds: | 441 |  | 58 |  | 14 |  | 1,276 |  |
| 1/Total count for three aerial surveys made form 14 Decenber 1999 through 23 March 2000; redds were observed orly during the first flught 2 Total coumt for 16 aenal surveys made from 28 Aprl through 16 Auguse 2000, <br> 3/ Total count for surveys made on 29 Augst and 29 September 2000 <br> 4/ Total count for surveys made on 17 October and 21 November 2000. <br> 5/ Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation Distnct Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Clear Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2000.
Spring run. Seven snorkeling-surveys by USFWS and CDFG were made in Clear Creek during 2000, from Whiskeytown Dam downstream to McCormick-Saeltzer Dam. A total of 19 adult salmon, which may have been spring-run, was counted during the period April through October, while nine redds were seen at the end of September. An estimate of the spring-run salmon spawner population was not made.

Fall run. Nine spawner surveys of Clear Creek were conducted during 10 October through 4 December 2000 , in the $6.7-\mathrm{km}(4.2-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch downstream of the former location of McCormick-Saeltzer Dam, which had been removed in September. Salmon carcasses were marked by attaching colored tape to the jaw with a hog ring, and replacing them back into running water for recovery during following surveys; different colors of tape were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods.

Using fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), the spawner population in Clear Creek downstream of McCormick-Saeltzer Dam was estimated to be 6,687 fish (Table 4). While no carcass surveys were made upstream of the dam site, four redds were observed in that area.

| TABLE 4. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2000 fall-run spawner population in Clear Creek from the former site of McCormick-Saeltzer Dam to 4.2 miles downstream ${ }^{1 /}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| period (j). Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (1). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (R1) | Total carcasses observed$(\mathrm{Cj})^{2 /}$ | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{3 /}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8 | 259 | 555 |
| 3 | 6 | 57 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 63 | 1,010 | 1,778 |
| 4 |  | 6 | 33 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 39 | 703 | 1,560 |
| 5 |  | 4 | 16 | 29 | -- | -- | -. | 49 | 540 | 1,040 |
| 6 |  | 1 | 8 | 15 | 14 | -- | -- | 38 | 525 | 1,133 |
| 7 |  |  | 1 | 11 | 6 | 25 | - | 43 | 350 | 668 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 4 | 3 | 7 | 63 | 214 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 7 | 67 | 222 |
| Total recovered (R1): | 14 | 68 | 58 | 58 | 20 | 32 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses <br> marked (Mı) | 30 | 117 | 134 | 101 | 51 | 58 | 22 | Total estim |  | 7,170 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | imate ${ }^{\text {3/ }}$ | 6,687 |
| 1/Surveys were conducted from 10 October through 4 December 2000, <br> 2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered. <br> $3 / \mathrm{Schaefer}$ (1951) estimate equation: $\mathrm{N}=\Sigma(\mathrm{Rij} \times(\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{Ri}) \times(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Rj}))$. <br> 4/ Total may not correspond to the actual swm of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding . <br> 5/ Adjusted estumate reflects the modified Schacfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estumate $(7,170 \cdot 483=6,687)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on examination of 3,281 salmon carcasses, the fall-run spawner population of Clear Creek consisted of $47 \%$ male adults (FL $\geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in.]), $50 \%$ female adults, and 3\% grilse (FL<61 $\mathrm{cm})$.

Pre-spawning mortality of female salmon in Clear Creek this season averaged one percent.

## Cow Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys for this run in this tributary were made in 2000.
Fall run. An aerial redd survey was conducted on 2 November 2000, covering the creek from its confluence with the Sacramento River upstream, into the North Fork to Bella Vista water diversion, and into the South Fork to Old Cow Creek. A total of 83 redds was counted ( 35 in the mainstem, 15 in the North Fork, and 33 in the South Fork). An estimate of the fall-run spawner population was not made.

## Cottonwood Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2000.
Spring run. Beegum Creek, a tributary to Cottonwood Creek, was surveyed on 24 August 2000. The $9.8 \mathrm{~km}-(6.1 \mathrm{mi}$ ) stretch of the creek from its north and south forks' confluence downstream to the Hwy-36 Bridge crossing was covered by snorkeling. A total of 122 salmon was counted, and judged to constitute the 2000 spring-run spawner population for the Cottonwood Creek system.

Fall run. An aerial redd survey was conducted on 2 November 2000, covering the creek from its confluence with the Sacramento River upstream, into the North Fork to Oro, into the South Fork to the power line crossing, and into the Middle Fork to Beegum Creek. A total of 189 redds was counted ( 180 in the mainstem, four in the North Fork, and five in the Middle Fork). An estimate of the fall-run spawner population was not made.

## Battle Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run spawning naturally in Battle Creek during 2000. The only available spawner data were for 4,194 late-fall-run salmon whch entered Coleman National Fish Hatchery (CNFH). These fish consisted of $31 \%$ male adults, $58 \%$ female adults, and $11 \%$ grilse.

Winter run. No in-river spawner surveys were conducted for this run. However, two salmon without clipped adipose-fins, that may have been winter-run fish, were captured at the CNFH weir and released upstream.

Spring run. No in-river spawner surveys were conducted for this run during 2000. However, trapping and video monitoring of upstream migrant salmon was conducted at the CNFH barrier dam during 7 March through 1 September. A total of 40 adult salmon, which may have been spring-run, were observed passing the weir from March through 15 July. An estimate of the Battle Creek spring run population was not made.

Fall run. Eleven carcass surveys were conducted during 4 October through 11 December 2000 , covering the $5.6-\mathrm{km}(3.5-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of river from CNFH downstream to the old hatchery location. Salmon carcasses were marked by attaching colored tape to their jaws with hog rings, and placed into running water for recovery; different colors of tape were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods.

Using fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), the spawner population in Battle Creek downstream of CNFH was estimated to be 53,447 fish (Table 5). Combined with an additional 21,659 fish which entered CNFH, the total 2000 Battle Creek fall-run population was 75,106 salmon (Appendix 2). Although the creek upstream of CNFH was not completely surveyed, some fall-run adults were observed passing the barrier dam, and two redds were counted in that area.

Based on examination of 22,656 salmon carcasses, the fall run consisted of $36 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in.]), $62 \%$ female adults, and $2 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). In comparison, fall-run fish entering CNFH consisted of $52 \%$ male adults, $44 \%$ female adults, and $4 \%$ grilse.

Pre-spawning mortality of female fall-run salmon in Battle Creek averaged $26 \%$ in 2000.
The 2000 fall-run spawner population in Battle Creek of 75,106 fish was a decrease of $37 \%$ from 1999, but still $27 \%$ higher than the population average for 1990-1999 (Appendix 3).


## Paynes Creek

Fall run. An aerial survey was made of Paynes Creek on 2 November 2000, to document the presence/absence of salmon and distribution of any spawning. The stretch of stream covered extended from the confluence with the Sacramento River to $1.6 \mathrm{~km}(1 \mathrm{mi})$ upstream of Dales Station. A total of five redds was counted, but observations were limited by dense riparian cover. An estimate of the population was not made.

## Red Bluff Diversion Dam to Princeton Ferry

A total of 10,204 Chinook salmon spawners, consisting of 8,895 fall-, 1,190 spring- and 119 late-fall-run fish, was estimated for 2000 in the Sacramento River system between Red Bluff and Princeton Ferry (Figure 2).

## Sacramento River Mainstem

Estimates of salmon spawner populations in the Sacramento River mainstem downstream of RBDD were derived from aerial redd counts for the entire mainstem and from the upstream mainstem population estimates. The proportional distribution of a run's redds that were upstream and downstream of RBDD was assumed to represent the distribution of that run's entire mainstem population.

Late-fall run. Aerial surveys were conducted during 14 December 1999 through 23 March 2000, but redds were only observed on the first flight. Late-fall-run redds downstream of RBDD constituted about $1.4 \%$ of the total mainstem spawning (Table 3 ), which was estimated to represent a spawner population of 119 fish.

Winter run. There were no redds observed in the mainstem downstream of RBDD during 16 aerial surveys conducted from 28 April though 16 August 2000 (Table 3), and it was judged that winter-run salmon did not spawn in this stretch of the river this year.

Spring run. There were no redds observed in the mainstem downstream of RBDD during aerial surveys conducted on 29 August and 29 September 2000 (Table 3), and it was judged that spring-run salmon did not spawn in this stretch of the river this year.

Fall run. Based on aerial surveys conducted on 17 October and 21 November 2000, fallrun redds downstream of RBDD constituted about $9.2 \%$ of the total mainstem spawning (Table 3 ), which was estimated to represent a spawner population of 8,895 fish. This estimate was about $68 \%$ lower than that of 1999 , and $33 \%$ lower than the population average for 1990 to 1999 (Appendix 3).

## Antelope Creek

Spring run. Snorkeling-surveys of the holding habitat of adult spring-run salmon in the upper Antelope Creek system were made on 24 and 26 July 2000. A total stream length of 26 km ( 16 mi ) was covered, from the mouth of Little Grapevine Creek in the mainstem upstream, to the North Fork Falls, and into sections of the south fork to South Fork Falls. A total of nine adult salmon was observed, and judged to be the 2000 spring run for this system.

Fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2000.

## Mill Creek

Spring run. Surveys of Upper Mill Creek were made during 21 August through 18 October 2000, covering a stream length of approximately $41 \mathrm{~km}(25 \mathrm{mi})$ from the Hwy-36 Bridge crossing downstream to the powerline crossing located $4.8 \mathrm{~km}(3 \mathrm{mi})$ downstream from Little Mill Creek. Based on redd counts made through combined ground and aerial surveys, a total of 272 redds was determined to be the maximum number present, and judged to represent a spring-run population of 544 fish.

Fall run. One aerial flight and three bimonthly ground surveys were conducted of Mill Creek to count redds and determine spawning distribution. Surveys extended from the Los Molinos Mutual Water District upper diversion dam (Upper Dam) to the confluence with the Sacramento River. No fall run spawning occurred upstream of Upper Dam. The highest concentration of redds occurred between Upper Dam and Ward Dam. A maximum of 167 redds and 62 carcasses were observed. An estimate of the fall-run population was not made.

## Deer Creek

Spring run . A snorkeling survey of upper Deer Creek was conducted on 8 August 2000 covering the $53-\mathrm{km}(33-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch from Upper Deer Creek Falls downstream to Dillon Cove. A total of 637 adult salmon was counted, and judged to be the 2000 spring run in this tributary.

Fall run. One aerial flight and three bimonthly ground surveys were conducted of Deer Creek to count redds and determine spawning distribution. Surveys extended from the Deer Creek Irrigation District upper diversion dam (Upper Dam) to the confluence with the Sacramento River. No fall run spawning occurred upstream of Upper Dam. The highest concentration of spawning occurred between Leininger Road Bridge and the railroad crossing. A maximum of 121 redds and 40 carcasses were observed. An estimate of the fall-run population was not made.

Big Chico Creek to the American River
A total of 266,194 Chinook salmon was estimated for 2000 in the Sacramento River tributaries from Big Chico Creek to the American River (Figure 3). This total consisted of 8,117 spring-run and 258,077 fall-run fish (Appendix 2).

## Big Chico Creek

Spring run ${ }^{4 /}$. A snorkeling survey was conducted on 8 August 2000 in the stretch of Chico Creek from Higgin's Hole downstream to Salmon Hole in Bidwell Park. A total of 27 salmon was counted and judged to be the spring-run spawner population in this tributary for 2000.

Fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2000.

## Butte Creek

Spring run ${ }^{5 /}$. Five snorkeling surveys were conducted during 25 August through 1 September 2000 covering the stretch from Centerville Head Dam to Parrott-Phelan Diversion Dam. In addition to 52 grilse salmon and nine salmon carcasses, between 3,924 and 4,118 live adult fish were counted. All of the salmon were observed between Quartz Bowl Pool and the covered bridge. Based on these surveys, it was judged that the maximum population of 4,118 spring-run salmon were in the creek.

Fall run. ${ }^{6 /}$ Salmon carcass surveys were conducted during 20 October through 28 December 2000. A total of 714 carcasses were counted between Parrott-Phelan Dam and Gorrill Dam, but salmon were also observed or reported outside of that reach. An estimate of the fallrun spawner population was not made.

[^4]

FIGURE 3. Sacramento River System from Big Chico Creek to the American River.

## Feather River

Spring run. A total of 3,972 salmon classified as spring-run fish entered Feather River Hatchery (FRH) during $12-23$ September $2000^{7 /}$. These fish consisted of $54.7 \%$ male adults, $37.4 \%$ female adults, and $7.9 \%$ grilse. In the river itself, no attempt was made to estimate numbers of spring-run salmon.

The 3,972 spring-run salmon at FRH in 2000 was $12 \%$ lower than in 1999, and $15 \%$ lower than the average for 1990-1999 (Appendix 3).

Fall run. Salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys were conducted in the Feather River between the hatchery barrier dam and East Gridley Road bridge during 5 September through 15 December $2000^{\frac{8}{2}}$. This stretch of river was surveyed in two sections, characterized by different flow regimes. The reach between the hatchery and Thermalito Afterbay Outlet (Section 1) had constant flows of $17.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(600 \mathrm{cfs})$ throughout the survey periods. Flow downstream of Thermalito Afterbay to Gridley (Section 2) averaged $55.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(1965 \mathrm{cfs})$, ranging from 111.8 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(3948 \mathrm{cfs})$ at the beginning of the surveys to $45.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(1613 \mathrm{cfs})$ near the end.

Only fresh adult carcasses were marked, with colored ribbons attached to the lower jaws with hog rings; for each marking period a different ribbon color was used. Marked carcasses were released, into the strongest flowing water within $3.3 \mathrm{~m}(10 \mathrm{ft})$ of the initial location found, for later recovery. Fresh carcasses were identified by having at least one clear eye or pink gills, while the adult distinction was a $\mathrm{FL}>65 \mathrm{~cm}(25.6 \mathrm{in})$. The size criteria used for this distinction was determined from length frequency analysis of salmon measured during the 1999 spawning season. Fresh grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 65 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) carcasses were counted, to determine the grilse proportion in the population, measured, and then chopped in half, as were non-fresh carcasses and those that were recovered with marks. The gender of nearly all carcasses observed was also recorded.

Schaefer (Appendix 1.B) estimates, calculated from the mark-and-recovery data, were 66,517 adult salmon for Section 1, and 41,244 adults for Section 2 (Table 6). Combining both estimates, along with an additional 73 carcasses counted during the initial survey week, resulted in a total in-river adult population of 107,834 fish. Expansion of the adult population to include a $6 \%$ grilse proportion resulted in a combined total in-river estimate of 114,717 fish. A total of 18,146 fall-run salmon entered $\mathrm{FRH}^{7}{ }^{7}$, bringing the 2000 fall run in the Feather River to 132,863 salmon (Appendix 2).

The composition of fall-run salmon in the river, based on 53,385 carcasses examined, was $41 \%$ male adults, $53 \%$ female adults, and $6 \%$ grilse. Salmon which entered FRH consisted of $55.3 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 55.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 22 in ), $35.4 \%$ female adults, and $9.3 \%$ grile ( $\mathrm{FL}<55.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

The 2000 Feather River fall run of 132,863 fish was the highest recorded for that tributary, and over double the 1997 population; in-river estimates were not made in 1998 and 1999 (Appendix 3 ).

[^5]| River from Feather River Hatchery to East Gridley Road Bridge ${ }^{1 /}$. <br> Feather River Hatchery to Thermalito Afterbay Outlet (Section 1) <br> Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery <br> perrod (i). | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | recovered | observed | estimate |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | (Rj) | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2 /}$ | (N) ${ }^{3 /}$ |
| 2 | 18 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 18 | 174 | 266 |
| 3 | 11 | 29 | -* | -- | -- | $\rightarrow$ | -- | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 40 | 348 | 555 |
| 4 | 2 | 16 | 78 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 96 | 1,155 | 1,767 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 29 | 196 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -" | 228 | 3,203 | 4,597 |
| 6 |  |  | 12 | 96 | 204 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 312 | 6,492 | 10,307 |
| 7 |  |  | 3 | 34 | 125 | 308 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 470 | 9,616 | 15,156 |
| 8 |  |  | 8 | 5 | 25 | 102 | 402 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 542 | 9,155 | 14,741 |
| 9 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 7 | 32 | 123 | 404 | -" | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 567 | 5,540 | 8,304 |
| 10 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 8 | 23 | 74 | 252 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 359 | 3,479 | 5,858 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 54 | 235 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 312 | 2,541 | 4,187 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 58 | 99 | -- | -- | -- | 178 | 1,446 | 2,605 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 34 | 49 | -- | -- | 107 | 1,027 | 1,857 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 41 | -- | 65 | 480 | 983 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 30 | 218 | 632 |
| Total recovered (Ri). | 32 | 47 | 131 | 332 | 364 | 450 | 554 | 503 | 323 | 314 | 141 | 70 | 51 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mt) | 49 | 76 | 198 | 472 | 608 | 700 | 900 | 731 | 569 | 514 | 271 | 127 | 111 | 21 | Total estima |  | 71,815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted e | timate ${ }^{3 /}$ : | 66,517 |



## Yuba River

Spring run. During September 2000, CDFG conducted surveys of salmon spawning in the river upstream of Daguerre Point Dam ${ }^{9 /}$. A total of 205 redds were counted, with the majority occurring in the reach between Parks Bar upstream to Rose Bar. It was assumed that these redds represented spring-run spawning, but an estimate of the population was not made.

Fall run. Salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys for this run during 2000 were conducted in the Yuba River from Rose Bar downstream to Simpson Lane in Marysville ${ }^{100}$. The surveyed reach was covered in three sections: Rose Bar to Parks Bar at the Hwy. 20 bridge (Section 1), Parks Bar to Daguerre Point Dam (Section 2), and Daguerre Point Dam to Marysville (Section 3). These reaches included nearly all of the spawning areas used by Chinook salmon in the Yuba River. Some fish may have spawned in the Narrows upstream of Rose Bar to Englebright Dam, although suitable habitat is scarce in that area. Weekly surveys were conducted in Section 1 during 3 October through 12 December, in Section 2 during 3 October through 13 December, and in Section 3 from 12 October through 21 December.

Yuba River flows below Englebright Dam ranged from $27.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $30.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(967-1089 \mathrm{cfs})$ during the survey periods, and remained relatively stable throughout the spawning season. Flows near Marysville ranged between $18.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $27.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(645-985 \mathrm{cfs})$. The mean daily water temperature in Sections 1 and 2 ranged from $11.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $12.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(52-55^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$, while those in Section 3 ranged from the lower 60 s to upper 40 s farenheit. Visibility through the water averaged $3.3 \mathrm{~m}(10 \mathrm{ft})$.

This season, both adult and grilse fresh salmon carcasses were marked; carcasses were considered fresh if they had firm flesh, at least one clear eye, and pink gills, while the adult designation was a $\mathrm{FL}>64.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 25.5 in ). The length distinguishing adults and grilse was based on data from Yuba River salmon collected at the beginning of the 2000 season.

Marking consisted of colored flagging attached to the fish's jaw with a hog ring; different colors of tape were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods and survey reaches. Marked carcasses were returned into flowing water for subsequent recovery. Decayed carcasses and recovered marked carcasses were counted and then chopped in half. The sex of fresh adult carcasses was noted for determination of the male to female ratio in the population.

Using carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), estimates of 4,133 adults and 470 grilse were calculated for Section 1 (Table 7), 4,979 adults and 895 grilse in Section 2 (Table 8), and 3,842 adults and 676 grilse in Section 3 (Table 9). Combining these estimates gave 14,995 total salmon as the 2000 Yuba River run.

[^6]

Based on fresh carcasses observed during the surveys, the fall run population consisted of $46 \%$ adult males and $54 \%$ adult females. Prespawning mortality, determined from observed carcasses, was approximately $8 \%$ for female adults.

The 2000 Yuba River fall run of 14,995 salmon was $38 \%$ lower than the 1999 population, and $16 \%$ lower than the 1990-1999 average population (Appendix 3).

TABLE 8. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2000 fall-run spawner population in the Yuba River from Parks Bar at the Highway 20 Bridge to Daguerre Point Dam ${ }^{1 /}$.


TABLE 9. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2000 fall-run spawner population in the Yuba River from Daguerre Point Dam to the Simpson Lane Bridge in Marysville ${ }^{1 /}$.


## 1/ Surveys were conducted from 12 October through 2! December 2000

2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered
3/ Schaefer (1951) estımate equation $N=\Sigma\left(R_{\jmath} \times\left(M_{1} / R_{1}\right) \times\left(C_{j} / R_{j}\right)\right)$
4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding
5/ Adusted estimate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate $4,169-327=3,842$ )
6/ Adjusted estimate where marked carcasses (Mi) from the sccond marking pertod on were subtracted from the total estimate (724-48=676)

Fall run. Weekly salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys in the American River were conducted between 30 October 2000 and 3 January 2001, covering the $20.8-\mathrm{km}(12.9-\mathrm{mi})$ reach from Sailor Bar downstream to the Watt Avenue bridge. Water clarity, measured by secchi disk, ranged from 1.8 m to $3.3 \mathrm{~m}(6-11 \mathrm{ft})$. Water temperature ranged from $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $16.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(50-61^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

This season fresh salmon carcasses were distinctly marked by attaching a hog ring and colored flagging to their jaws; different colors were used each marking period. A carcass was considered fresh if it had red to pink gills. Marked carcasses were replaced into running water for later recovery. Any carcass not tagged, as well as those recovered with tags were counted and cut in half. Length and sex were recorded for a sample of the fresh carcasses.

The salmon population of the Watt Avenue to Sailor Bar section of the river, estimated from carcass mark-and-recovery data using the Schaefer calculation (Appendix 1.B), was 99,059 fish (Table 10). In addition, 11,160 salmon entered Nimbus Hatchery ${ }^{122}$, bringing the total American River 2000 fall-run population to 110,219 fish (Appendix 2).

TABLE 10. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2000 fall-run spawner population in the American River from Sailor Bar to the Watt Avenue Bridge ${ }^{\text {" }}$.

| ADULT ESTIMATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses observed (Cj) ${ }^{2}$ | Population estimate <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery period (j): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | -- | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 1,263 | 5,626 |
| 3 | 3 | 50 | - | -- | -- | - | -- | - | 53 | 2,902 | 8,756 |
| 4 | 3 | 7 | 94 | - | - | - | -- | -- | 104 | 5,080 | 14,261 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 87 | - | - | -- | -- | 99 | 6,673 | 25,271 |
| 6 | 0 |  | 4 | 10 | 109 | - | -- | -- | 123 | 6,116 | 15,560 |
| 7 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 12 | 70 | -- | -- | 83 | 4,363 | 12,970 |
| 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 15 | 35 | -- | 51 | 3,082 | 12,460 |
| 9 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 25 | 35 | 1,417 | 4,355 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 5 | 354 | 1,484 |
| Total recovered (R). | 11 | 58 | 110 | 98 | 122 | 90 | 39 | 29 | Total estore 4 / |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mi): | 49 | 170 | 302 | 385 | 294 | 275 | 176 | 83 | Total estım |  | 100,744 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted estimate ${ }^{5 /}$ : |  | 99,059 |

1/Surveys were conducted from 30 October 2000 through 3 January 2001
2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
3/ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $N=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{Rl}_{\mathrm{l}} \times\right.$ (Mi/Ri) $\left.\times(\mathrm{Cj} / \mathrm{R} \mathrm{i})\right)$.
4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estumates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding
5/ Adjusted estinute reflects the modtfied Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking penod on were subtracted from the total estumate ( $100,744-1,685=99,059$ ).

[^7]Based on examination of 939 fresh carcasses, the run consisted of $44.1 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 70$ cm [27.6 in.]), $50.2 \%$ female adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 64 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 25.2 in ), $5.3 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<70 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $0.4 \%$ female grilse (FL $\leq 64 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Salmon entering Nimbus Hatchery consisted of $55.6 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL}>60 \mathrm{~cm}$ [23.6 in.]), $36.8 \%$ female adults, $6.9 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $0.7 \%$ female grilse.

The 2000 run of 110,219 salmon in the American River was an increase of $69 \%$ from the previous year's population (Appendix 3), and the highest ever recorded for that tributary.

[^8]
## CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNER POPULATIONS FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN RIVER SYSTEM

The Mokelumne, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers of the San Joaquin River system (Figure 4) were surveyed for Chinook salmon spawners. A total of 46,865 salmon, consisting entirely of fall-run fish, was estimated to be in this system for 2000 (Appendix 2).

## Mokelumne River

Fall run. Fish passage at Woodbridge Irrigation District Dam was monitored by East Bay Municipal District, during 15 August 2000 through 23 April $2001{ }^{\frac{13}{}{ }^{\prime} \text {. Passage through the high- }}$ stage fishway was monitored with a closed-circuit, underwater video system through 6 November, after which the lake behind the dam was drawn down for the winter. Subsequently, a combination of the video system and upstream migrant trapping was used in the low-stage fishway.

A total of 7,418 salmon was counted, migrating past or relocated to upstream of the dam, during 20 August 2000 through 12 February 2001. Of these salmon, 5,524 fish entered the Mokelumne River Fish Hatchery ${ }^{14}$, , so the in-river fall-run spawner population was assumed to be 1,894 fish (Appendix 2).

Based on examination of salmon at the dam the run consisted of $37 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL}>60 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 23.6 in ]), $46 \%$ female adults, and $17 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The composition of the salmon entering the hatchery was $38 \%$ male adults, $46 \%$ female adults, and $16 \%$ grilse.

The 2000 spawner population of 7,418 fish in the Mokelumne River was an increase of $39 \%$ from the previous year's run, and 65\% higher than the average population size for the 1990-1999 period (Appendix 3).

## Stanislaus River

Fall run. Spawner surveys of the Stanislaus River were conducted during 5 October through 22 December $2000{ }^{\frac{15}{} /}$. The $22.5-\mathrm{km}(14-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the river from Knight's Ferry to Oakdale Recreation Area was covered by drift boat, while surveys were made on foot in the Goodwin Canyon area.

[^9]All salmon carcasses, except skeletons, were marked using numbered metal tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings; skeletons also included carcasses so decomposed that it was judged they would not retain a tag for two weeks. Marked carcasses were released, into running water at the lower end of the riffle where they were initially found, for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, it was sexed and measured, and its condition was determined as either fresh or decayed; fresh carcasses were identified as having clear eyes.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class and condition, so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate.

The population in the Knight's Ferry to Oakdale Recreation Area stretch was estimated to be 8,498 fish ${ }^{16 /}$, using the fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data in the Schaefer model (Table 11). The run consisted of $35.8 \%$ male adults, $58.5 \%$ female adults, $1.5 \%$ male grilse, and $4.2 \%$ female grilse.

The 2000 Stanislaus River fall-run spawner population of 8,498 salmon was almost double the previous year's run (Appendix 3), and was the highest since the 1988 run of over 10,000 fish (Kano 1997).

TABLE 11. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2000 fall-run spawner population in the Stanislaus River between Knight's Ferry and Oakdale Recreation Area ".


1/Surveys were conducted from 5 October through 22 December 2000,
$2 /$ Includes salimon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered
$3 /$ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation' $\mathrm{N}=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{Rij} \times\left(\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{Ri}^{2}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{CJ}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{Rj}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right)$.
4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadshect rounding
5/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schacfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate (8,787-289 $=8,498$ ).
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## Tuolumne River

Fall run. Chinook salmon spawner surveys in the Tuolumne River were conducted from 27 September 2000 through 5 January $2001^{\frac{17}{} \text {; }}$ mark-and-recovery surveys started during the first week of October. Surveys covered the river stretch from the riffles at River Mile 51.6 downstream to Fox Grove Regional Park, a distance of $41.2 \mathrm{~km}(25.6 \mathrm{mi})$.

This season, in anticipation of a large run, every third salmon carcass was sampled and processed. All sampled carcasses, except skeletons, were marked using numbered metal tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings; skeletons also included carcasses so decomposed that it was judged they would not retain a tag for two weeks. Marked carcasses were released, into running water at the lower end of the riffle where they were initially found, for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, its sex was determined, a length measurement was made, and a condition of either fresh or decayed was assigned; fresh carcasses were identified as having clear eyes.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class and condition, so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated that the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate.

The salmon population in the Tuolumne River upstream of Fox Grove Regional Park was estimated at 17,873 fish, using the fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data in the Schaefer model (Table 12). The run consisted of $34 \%$ male adults, $60.7 \%$ female adults, $3.2 \%$ male grilse, and $2.1 \%$ female grilse.

The 2000 fall run of salmon in the Tuolumne River was more than double the previous year's population (Appendix 3), and was the highest since the 1987 run of over 14,000 fish (Kano and Reavis 1997).

## Merced River

Fall run. Weekly salmon surveys were conducted in the $39.7-\mathrm{km}(24.7-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the Merced River from the Merced River Hatchery downstream to Santa Fe Road near Cressy ${ }^{18 /}$. Surveys were conducted from 4 October 2000 through 8 January 2001, with carcass mark-andrecovery beginning during the second week. River flows were increased, about the same time that the carcass surveys began, to attract salmon into the tributary and improve spawning conditions. The higher flows were continued for about three weeks ( 14 October through

[^11]| Recovery period (j): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered ( Rj ) | Total carcasses observed (Cj) ${ }^{2 /}$ | Population estimate <br> (N) ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 |  | 0 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 3 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 3 | 154 | 385 |
| 5 |  | 1 | 3 | 12 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 16 | 332 | 923 |
| 6 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 27 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 32 | 735 | 1,695 |
| 7 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 9 | 23 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | 33 | 993 | 2,655 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 30 | 40 | -- | -- | .. | -- | -- | 80 | 1,652 | 4.308 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 45 | -- | - | -- | - | 70 | 1,295 | 2,975 |
| 10 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 25 | 33 | -- | -- | -- | 82 | 1,143 | 2,463 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 21 | -- | -- | 59 | 718 | 1.583 |
| . 12 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 6 | , | 3 | -- | 16 | 324 | 706 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 295 | 942 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 | 74 | 178 |
| Total recovered (Ri) | 0 | 1 | 8 | 24 | 46 | 68 | 78 | 90 | 56 | 26 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mi) | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total estima |  | 18.850 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted $\theta$ | timate ${ }^{5 /}$ : | 17,873 |
| ```1/Surveys were conducted from the first week of October 2000 through 5 January 2001 2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered 3/Schaefer (1951) estmate equation N=\Sigma(Rlj x (M/Ri) x (Cj/Rj)) 4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding 5/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modfied Schacfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (M1) from the second markng period on were subtracted from the total estumate ( 18,850-977 = 17,873).``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 November) peaking at $38.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 1365 cfs ) on 22 October. Throughout the remainder of the survey period, flows ranged from $8.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $11.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(300-400 \mathrm{cfs})$.

All salmon carcasses, except skeletons, were marked using numbered metal tags attached to their lower jaws, or near the dorsal fins, with hog-rings; skeletons included carcasses so decomposed that it was judged they would not retain a tag for two weeks. Marked carcasses were released, into running water at the lower end of the riffle where they were initially found, for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, it was sexed and measured, and its condition was determined as either fresh or decayed; fresh carcasses were identified as having clear eyes.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class and condition, so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated that the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate.

A Schaefer estimate of 11,130 salmon was calculated for the river stretch from Merced River

Hatchery to Santa Fe Road (Table 13). Merced River Hatchery took in 1,946 salmon ${ }^{19}$, for a total 2000 fall-run spawner population of 13,076 fish (Appendix 2). The in-river run of the Merced River consisted of $35 \%$ male adults, $57.7 \%$ female adults, $4.8 \%$ male grilse, and $2.5 \%$ female grilse. Salmon which entered Merced River Hatchery consisted of $35.1 \%$ male adults, $47.9 \%$ female adults, $14.2 \%$ male grilse, and $2.8 \%$ female grilse.

The 2000 Merced River fall run was over three times higher than the previous year's run (Appendix 3), and the highest since the 1985 population of over 16,000 fish (Kano and Reavis 1996).

| Recovery period (j). | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered ( Rj j$)$ | Total carcasses observed (Cj) ${ }^{2 /}$ | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | - | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | .. | -- | -- | -- | - | , | 9 | 9 |
| 3 |  | 1 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - | -- | - | 1 | 128 | 896 |
| 4 |  |  | 2 | -. | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | 109 | 2,362 |
| 5 |  |  | 1 | 5 | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | 6 | 180 | 1,507 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5 | 265 | 1,716 |
| 7 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | 7 | 210 | 1,478 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | -- | -- | - | 4 | 169 | 1,227 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | -- | -- | 2 | 105 | 1,385 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -- | 1 | 13 | 46 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 712 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| Total recovered (Ri): | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (M1). |  | 7 | 65 | 40 | 40 | 59 | 30 | 19 | 7 | 2 | Total estum |  | 11,399 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | imate ${ }^{5 /}$ : | 11,130 |
| 1/Surveys were conducted from the second week of October 2000 through 8 January 2001 <br> 2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered. <br> $3 /$ Schaefer (195t) estimate equation $\mathrm{N}=\Sigma(\mathrm{Rij} \times(\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{Ri}) \times(\mathrm{Cj} / \mathrm{Rj}))$. <br> 4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding . <br> 5/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schatfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subrracted tomm the total esturnate ( $11,399-269=11,130$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^12]
## SUMMARY

The total estimated 2000 Central Valley Chinook salmon spawner population was 507,149 fish, consisting of 460,284 fish in the Sacramento River system and 46,865 fish in the San Joaquin River system (Table 14). This total was $14 \%$ higher than the 443,678 salmon estimated in 1999.

All of the late-fall, winter, and spring runs, and the majority of the fall run were in the Sacramento River system. In the Feather and American rivers of that system, record high fall runs occurred. The combined fall run in the San Joaquin tributaries of Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers was over double the 1999 populations, but still only contributed a small portion ( $8 \%$ ) to the total Central Valley escapement.

TABLE 14. Summary of the 2000 Sacramento-San Joaquin river system Chinook salmon spawner populations.

| Spawning area | Late-fall <br> run_1/ $^{1 /}$ | Winter run Spring run | Fall run | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sacramento River <br> mainstem | 8,751 | 1,350 | 0 | 96,688 | 106,789 |
| Sacramento River <br> tributaries <br> San Joaquin River <br> tributaries | 4,194 | 2 | 9,429 | 339,870 | 353,495 |
| Totals: | 12,945 | 1,352 | 9,429 | 483,423 | 507,149 |
| 1/Tributary data consists only of fish which entered Coleman National Fish Hatchery (Battle Creek). |  |  |  |  |  |
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## APPENDIX 1. Calculation methods used with carcass mark-and-recovery data to estimate

 Chinook salmon spawner populations.A. The Petersen equation as revised by Chapman (Ricker 1975):

$$
N=\frac{(M+1)(C+1)}{(R+1)}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}=$ estimated spawner population,
$\mathrm{M}=$ number of carcasses marked,
$\mathrm{C}=$ number of carcasses observed, including those marked and those recovered with marks, and
$\mathrm{R}=$ number of marked carcasses recovered.
B. A modification of the Schaefer (1951) equation, which was initially used in the 1976 Central Valley spawner stock report (Hoopaugh 1978);

$$
N=\Sigma\left(R_{i j} x \underset{R_{i}}{M_{i}} x \frac{\left.C_{j}\right)}{R_{j}}-\Sigma{ }_{2} M_{i}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{N}=$ the estimated spawner population,
$R_{i j}=$ carcasses marked in the ith marking period which were recovered in the jth recovery period,
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ carcasses marked in the ith marking period,
$R_{i}=$ total marked carcasses recovered from the ith marking period,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ total marked carcasses recovered during the j th recovery period,
$C_{1}=$ total carcasses observed in the jth recovery period, including those with marks, and
${ }_{2}^{1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ total carcasses marked from the second marking period on. Subtraction of this factor adjusted for replacement of recovered marked fish.


| APPENDIX 3. Chinook salmon spawner population estimates from 1990 through 2000 in California's Central Valley major tributaries. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tributar, | Rum | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\begin{gathered} 1990-1999 \\ \text { average } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Late-fall | 6,892 | 6,611 | 9,356 | 739 | 291 | 166 a | $48{ }^{\text {a }}$ | b/ | 38,239 cl | 8,683 d | 8,632 c/ | -- |
| Sacramento River manstem | Winter | 402 | 211 | 1.196 | 378 | 186 | 1,202 | 1,012 | 836 | 2,930 | 3,264 | 1,261 | 1,162 |
| upstream of Red Bluff | Spring | 4,198 | 825 | 371 | 391 | 862 | 426 | 378 | 128 | 1,115 | b | br | 966 el |
|  | Fall | 32,109 | 20,523 | 23,914 | $33.471$ | 44,729 | $53,385$ | 71,725 | $98,765$ | $5,718$ | $133,365$ | $87,793$ | $51.770$ |
| Battle Creak | Fall ff | 21,088 | 17,241 | 12,708 | 18,616 | 43,265 | 83,192 | 73.587 | 101,414 | 98.308 | 119,899 | 75,106 | 58,932 |
| Sacramento Ruver mainstem dovnstream of Red Bluff | Fall | 16,175 | 10,108 | 8,315 | 12,760 | 13,817 | 10,549 | 12,361 | 20,531 | 600 | 27,827 | 8,895 | 13,304 |
| Feather River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7,017$ | $6,746$ |  |  |  |
| - | Fall $\ddagger$ | $6,126 \mathrm{~g}$ | $42,062$ | $40,545$ | $42,914$ | $53,584$ | $72,061$ | $65.277$ | $65,675$ | $18,889 \quad g^{\prime}$ | $12,927 \mathrm{~g}$ | 132,863 | $54,588 \mathrm{~h} /$ |
| Yuba River | Fall | $1 /$ | 14,008 | 6,362 | 6,703 | 10,890 | 14,237 | 27,900 | 25,948 | 31,090 | 24,230 | 14,995 | $17.930 \mathrm{j} /$ |
| American River | Fall ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 10,239 | 25,211 | 11,267 | 39,410 | 40,087 | 86,828 | 82,396 | 57,845 | 66,580 | 65,099 | 110,219 | 48.496 |
| Mokelumne River | Fall $f$ | 499 | 410 | 1,645 | 3,157 | 3,421 | 5,417 | 7,775 | 10,163 | 7.202 | 5,332 | 7,418 | 4,502 |
| Stanislaus River | Fall | 480 | 394 | 255 | 677 | 1,031 | 619 | 168 | 5,588 | 3,087 | 4,349 | 8,498 | 1,665 |
| Tuolumne River | Fall | 96 | 77 | 132 | 471 | 506 | 827 | 4,362 | 7,146 | 8,910 | 8,232 | 17,873 | 3.076 |
| Merced Ruver | Fall fl | 82 | 119 | 986 | 1,678 | 3,589 | 2,922 | 4,432 | 3,660 | 4,091 | 4.756 | 13,076 | 2.633 |
| $a^{\prime}$ Only the number of salmon transferred to Coleman Natınal Fish Hatchery; in-river estimates not made. <br> b/ An estimate of the run size was not made. <br> cr Estrmate based on carcass surwey and aerral redd counts Estimates before 1995 were based on Red Bluff Diversion Dam counts <br> dr' Estimate is rot for the entre mainstem, for the carcess survey area only as aerial redd counts were not avalable to allow expansion <br> er 1990-1998 aterage. <br> Ex Estimate includes numbers of salmon at the Iributary's hatchery <br> 8' Numbers are only those salmon which entered Fealher Ruver Hatchery, in-river spawner estimates were not made <br> W'Average does not include the 1990, 1998, and 1999 estimates <br> 11 Tributary was not surveyed <br> 1/1991-1999 average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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